## REMARKS

Claims 1-32 are pending and subject to an election requirement.

In response to the requirement for election of species, the

Applicant hereby elects the species identified as Specie 2 (claims

14-32) in the event that no generic claim is ultimately allowable.

Newly-added claim 33, however, is generic to species 1 and 2. recites a method that More specifically, claim 33 determining whether a predetermined criterion is satisfied that indicates whether to map each of the plurality of logical devices to a distinct one of the plurality of functions. The predetermined criterion may, for example, be whether a compatibility flag indicates that each of the plurality of logical devices should be mapped to a distinct one of the plurality of functions (Species 1) or whether an operating system selected to be executed in the computer system supports mapping of multiple logical devices to a single function (Species 2). Elements (B) and (C) of claim 33 are similarly generic to elements (B) and (C) of claim 1 (Species 1) and claim 14 (Species 2).

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for extension of time is otherwise absent, applicant hereby requests any extension of time. Please charge any fees or make any credits, to Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Plotkin, Esq.

Date

Reg. No. 43,861

Robert Plotkin, P.C.

91 Main Street, Suite 204

Concord, MA 01742-2527

Tel: (978) 318-9914

Fax: (978) 318-9060

e-mail: rplotkin@rplotkin.com